

**2013 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Assembly Amendment (AA-AB385)**

Received: 1/10/2014 Received By: mshovers  
Wanted: As time permits Same as LRB:  
For: Garey Bies (608) 266-5350 By/Representing: Cory  
May Contact: Drafter: mshovers  
Subject: Local Gov't - room tax Addl. Drafters:  
Extra Copies: EVM

Submit via email: YES  
Requester's email: Rep.Bies@legis.wisconsin.gov  
Carbon copy (CC) to:

---

**Pre Topic:**

No specific pre topic given

---

**Topic:**

Room tax; maximum retention for locals, existing contracts

---

**Instructions:**

See attached

---

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	mshovers 1/17/2014			_____			
/1		scalvin 1/17/2014	rschluet 1/17/2014	_____	lparisi 1/17/2014	lparisi 1/17/2014	

FE Sent For:

<END>

**2013 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Assembly Amendment (AA-AB385)**

Received:	<b>1/10/2014</b>	Received By:	<b>mshovers</b>
Wanted:	<b>As time permits</b>	Same as LRB:	
For:	<b>Garey Bies (608) 266-5350</b>	By/Representing:	<b>Cory</b>
May Contact:		Drafter:	<b>mshovers</b>
Subject:	<b>Local Gov't - room tax</b>	Addl. Drafters:	
		Extra Copies:	<b>EVM</b>

Submit via email: **YES**  
 Requester's email: **Rep.Bies@legis.wisconsin.gov**  
 Carbon copy (CC) to:

**Pre Topic:**

No specific pre topic given

**Topic:**

Room tax; maximum retention for locals, existing contracts

**Instructions:**

See attached

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
--------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------	----------------	------------------	-----------------	-----------------

1/?	mshovers	1/1 sac 01/17/2014	1/1 sac 01/17/2014				
-----	----------	-----------------------	-----------------------	--	--	--	--

*1/17/14*

*[Signature]*

FE Sent For:

<END>

## Shovers, Marc

---

**From:** Bruce, Cory  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 07, 2014 11:10 AM  
**To:** Shovers, Marc  
**Cc:** Harriman, Amy; Trisha Pugal (pugal@wisconsinlodging.org); Kathi Kilgore (Kilgore@swandby.com)  
**Subject:** AB 385 - language for additional amendment  
**Attachments:** AB 385 additional amendment 1-2014.pdf

Marc,

Can you please draft an additional amendment to AB 385/SB 301 (Room tax) for us? The language is attached. I've copied Sen. Olsen's staff on this request. They'd like to introduce it for the Senate Bill as well.

Please let us know if you have any questions. (Trisha Pugal is copied on this. You can also direct questions to her).

Thanks,  
Cory Bruce  
Bies Office

Room Tax Reform –  
***AB 385 –Additional Amendment Considerations***  
*January 7, 2014*

**1. Phasing-Out Exceptions to a 30% Maximum Retention for Municipalities**

Proposal

Continue with AB 385's general six-year phase-out plan for municipalities retaining more than 30% of room tax for their own use, however add a cap on the amount decreased each year of 5% of the annual total amount the municipality retains as of 2014.

For example: A municipality currently retains all (100%) of room tax that totals \$100,000 annually. AB 385 as it reads now would require them to reduce the gap between 100% retention to 30% (70% change) within 6 years. This currently equates to a **decrease of 11.6% each of the six years.**

The new proposal capping it at **5% annually would allow them to reduce the amount they retain by only 5% annually, which would take over 14 years.**

**2. Protection of Existing Contracts for Municipalities**

Municipalities with contracts already in place as of January 1, 2013 for the use of room tax revenue for other than tourism promotion and development uses, would be authorized to continue to honor the contract funding commitments until the contract expires, is renewed, or is modified – at which point the municipality must come into compliance within the original 6 year timeline in AB 385, with no further exception.

For example, if the municipality has previously contracted for general economic development services funded by room tax, regardless if this would be compliant with the current or revised statute, the municipality could honor their commitment. However, once the contract expires or changes in any way, the municipality must follow AB 385. If the contract expires after the six years (or as revised under proposal #1 above), the municipality must the very next year be in full compliance with the 30% maximum retained and all statutory requirements.



State of Wisconsin  
2013 - 2014 LEGISLATURE



LRBa14577/

MES

SUC

RMK

~~PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION~~  
ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT ,  
TO ASSEMBLY BILL 385

D-NOTE  
WANTED  
MON.

1 At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

2 1. Page 8, line 8: delete "(dm) Beginning" and substitute "(dm) 1. Subject to  
3 subds. 2. and 3., beginning".

4 2. Page 8, line 16: after that line insert:

5 "2. If the formula of one-sixth reductions under subd. 1. would require a  
6 municipality to reduce the amount of room tax revenue that it retains by more than  
7 5 percent each year, the municipality may limit the amount of reduction to 5 percent  
8 each year, even if capping the reduction at 5 percent each year results in the  
9 municipality taking more than 6 years to reach the 30 percent maximum retainage  
10 percentage specified in subd. 1.

11 3. Notwithstanding the provisions of subds. 1. and 2., if a municipality is a  
12 party to a contract that is in effect on January 1, 2013, which requires the

1 municipality to expend room tax revenues for purposes other than tourism  
2 promotion and tourism development such that the municipality would not be in  
3 compliance with the 30 percent maximum retainage percentage specified in subd. 1.,  
4 the municipality may continue to honor the terms of the contract until the contract  
5 expires, is renewed, or is modified. Upon the expiration, renewal, or modification of  
6 the contract, the municipality must comply with the 30 percent maximum retainage  
7 percentage specified in subd. 1. starting with the the first day of the second calendar  
8 quarter that begins after the contract expires, is renewed, or is modified.”.

9 (END)

D-note

**DRAFTER'S NOTE**  
**FROM THE**  
**LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU**

LRBa1457/6dn

MES.....

*Sac*

*- date -*

Rep. Bies:

\* The instructions for item 2 state that once a contract expires, the municipality must be in compliance with the 30% maximum retainage "the very next year." If a contract expires, for example, on December 31, the municipality must meet the 30% standard the next day. If a contract expires on January 1, the municipality must meet the 30% standard in 364 or 365 days, which seems very unbalanced. Is this your intent?

I drafted the provision so that the municipality would have to be in compliance on the first day of the second calendar quarter that begins after the contract expires, is renewed, or is modified. This would give municipalities somewhere between approximately 90 and 180 days. Is this consistent with your intent? Please let me know if the amendment needs to be redrafted. Thanks.

Marc E. Shovers  
Managing Attorney  
Phone: (608) 266-0129  
E-mail: marc.shovers@legis.wisconsin.gov

**DRAFTER'S NOTE  
FROM THE  
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU**

LRBa1457/1dn  
MES:sac:rs

January 17, 2014

Rep. Bies:

The instructions for item 2. state that once a contract expires, the municipality must be in compliance with the 30% maximum retainage "the very next year." If a contract expires, for example, on December 31, the municipality must meet the 30% standard the next day. If a contract expires on January 1, the municipality must meet the 30% standard in 364 or 365 days, which seems very unbalanced. Is this your intent?

I drafted the provision so that the municipality would have to be in compliance on the first day of the second calendar quarter that begins after the contract expires, is renewed, or is modified. This would give municipalities somewhere between approximately 90 and 180 days. Is this consistent with your intent? Please let me know if the amendment needs to be redrafted. Thanks.

Marc E. Shovers  
Managing Attorney  
Phone: (608) 266-0129  
E-mail: [marc.shovers@legis.wisconsin.gov](mailto:marc.shovers@legis.wisconsin.gov)